



COUNCIL MINUTES

for the meeting

Tuesday 12 March 2019

in the Council Chamber,
Adelaide Town Hall



Members - The Right Honourable the Lord Mayor [Sandy Verschoor] (Presiding);
Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad)
Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Couros, Donovan, Hou, Hyde, Khera, Knoll,
Martin, Moran and Simms.

Acknowledgement of Country

At the opening of the Council Meeting, the Lord Mayor stated:

'Council acknowledges that we are meeting on traditional Country of the Kurna people of the Adelaide Plains and pays respect to Elders past and present. We recognise and respect their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationship with the land. We acknowledge that they are of continuing importance to the Kurna people living today.

And we also extend that respect to other Aboriginal Language Groups and other First Nations who are present today.'

Acknowledgement of Colonel William Light

The Lord Mayor stated:

'The Council acknowledges the vision of Colonel William Light in determining the site for Adelaide and the design of the City with its six (6) squares and surrounding belt of continuous Park Lands which is recognised on the National Heritage List as one of the greatest examples of Australia's planning heritage.'

Apologies and Leave of Absence

Nil

Confirmation of Minutes

1. Item 4 - Confirmation of Minutes – 26/2/2019 [C]

Moved by Councillor Simms,
Seconded by Councillor Martin –

That the Minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 26 February 2019, be taken as read and be confirmed as an accurate record of proceedings, subject to the closure time of the meeting being amended to read '9.00pm'.

Carried

Deputations

2. Item 5.1 - Mr Andrew Kerr – Deputation – Park 27b Toilet Facilities [C]

Mr Andrew Kerr addressed the Council to:

- Speak in support of the petition to install public toilets in Park 27b.

The Lord Mayor thanked Mr Andrew Kerr for his presentation.

During the presentation, Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) left the Council Chamber at 6.06pm.

3. Item 5.2 - Hon Tung Ngo MLC, Co-Chair of the Vietnamese Boat People Monument Association – Deputation - Vietnamese Boat People Monument in Park 12 [C]

The Hon Tung Ngo MLC addressed the Council in relation to:

- Requesting support for the location of a Vietnamese Boat People Monument in Park 12.

The Lord Mayor thanked the Hon Tung Ngo MLC for his presentation.

During the presentation, Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) re-entered the Council Chamber at 6.10pm.

4. Item 5.3 – Louise Miller Frost, Co-Chair, Adelaide Zero Project – Deputation – Cr Hyde – Motion on Notice - Homelessness [C]

Ms Louise Miller Frost addressed the Council in relation to:

- Seeking Councils support for Councillor Hyde’s Motion on Notice – Homelessness – for funding for the Adelaide Zero Project.

The Lord Mayor thanked Ms Louise Miller Frost for her presentation.

5. Item 5.4 – Jamieson Stoller – Deputation – Skating At Victoria Square [C]

Mr Jamieson Stoller addressed the Council in relation to:

- Requesting Council’s support for the Skating At event to be held in Victoria Square.

The Lord Mayor thanked Mr Jamieson Stoller for his presentation.

Petitions

6. Item 6.1 - Petition – Prioritisation of Toilet Installation in the Adelaide Park Lands [2018/04073] [C]

Moved by Councillor Moran,
Seconded by Councillor Hyde –

THAT COUNCIL

1. Notes the petition containing 386 signatories, distributed as a separate document to Item 6.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 12 March 2019, requesting prioritisation of toilet installation in close proximity to Park 27b and adjoining recreational facilities in the Adelaide Park Lands.

Carried

Report of Committee and Advice from Adelaide Park Lands Authority (APLA)

7. Item 7.1 - Recommendations of The Committee – 5/3/2019 [2018/04062] [C]

The Lord Mayor advised the meeting that each recommendation would be dealt with separately.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Martin,
Seconded by Councillor Moran –

Recommendation 1 - North Adelaide On-Street Parking Review [2016/02632]

That Council:

1. Approves the installation of time limit parking controls in North Adelaide in the 10P bays and approximately half of the unrestricted parking areas with a view to reducing commuter parking as detailed in Attachment A to Item 4.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 5 March 2019.
2. Approves a trial of relaxing the Residential Parking Permit Criteria within the trial area as detailed in Attachment A to Item 4.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 5 March 2019, in addition to;
 - i) the issue of one on street parking permit for a period of one year to a nominated vehicle registered to a dwelling which has only one off street parking space. Such permits will be made available on application and will be strictly limited to one for each address except in special circumstances as may be determined by the Administration.
 - ii) on street permits (as described in i)) allowing parking in designated areas for a period of up to 24 hours
 - iii) permits (as described in i)) will initially be limited to 1, 200
 - iv) an application of a fee for permits (described in i)) that will include Administrative costs and an additional sum of not more than \$100
 - v) the identification of areas with alterations to existing signage where permits (described in i)) can be used
 - vi) immediate planning for the introduction no later than the end of 2019 of a scheme to allow business ratepayers access to on street permits in designated areas currently utilised by commuter parking
2. Approves such funds that will be required for the notification, implementation and parking utilisation surveys with funds to be requested as part of the Q3 budget reconsideration process.

3. Notes the recommendations within the *Women's and Children's Health Network – Review of the Medical Centre Car Park Project Trial Report, November 2016* as at Attachment B to Item 4.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 5 March 2019.
4. Approves the continuation of the Women's and Children's Hospital Permit zone in Mackinnon Parade, Monday to Friday from 6am-6pm, in line with the Council decision on 11 August 2015 (decision no. 15691) with the option for either party to relinquish the Agreement with 3 months' written notice.

Discussion ensued

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Simms,
Seconded by Councillor Donovan –

That the motion be amended by the inclusion of an additional Part 5 to read as follows:

- '5. The money collected from the \$100 permit fee be allocated towards cycling infrastructure in the City and North Adelaide.'

Discussion continued

The amendment was then put and lost

Councillor Simms then requested that a division be taken on the amendment.

Division

For (2):

Councillors Donovan and Simms.

Against (9):

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) and Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Couros, Hou, Hyde, Khera, Knoll, Martin and Moran.

The division was declared against the amendment

Discussion continued

The motion was then put and carried

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Moran,
Seconded by Councillor Hou –

Recommendation 2 - Proposal for 'Skating At in Victoria Square' in Victoria Square/Tarntanyangga [2018/01437]

That Council:

1. Notes the outcomes of the public consultation administered via Council's Your Say website from 9-30 January 2019 as at Attachment A to Item 4.2 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 5 March 2019.
2. Grants an event licence to Skating At Pty Ltd for delivery of the proposed 'Skating At in Victoria Square' event in June/July 2019 as per the event management approach in Attachment B to Item 4.2 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 5 March 2019.

Carried

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Moran,
Seconded by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) –

Recommendation 3 - Delegations under the Liquor Licensing Act 1997, Road Traffic Act 1961 and South Australian Public Health Act 2011 [2019/16480]

That Council:

1. In exercise of the power contained within Section 44 of the *Local Government Act 1999* hereby delegates, this 12th day of March 2019, to the person occupying the office of Chief Executive Officer, the powers and functions under the *Liquor Licencing Act 1997*, *Road Traffic Act 1961*, *South Australian Public Health Act 2011*, *South Australian Public Health (Legionella) Regulations 2013*, *South Australian Public Health (General) Regulations 2013* and *South Australian Public Health (Fees) Regulations 2018* and specified in the proposed Instruments of Delegation contained in Attachment A, B and C to Item 4.3 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 5 March 2019, subject to the conditions and or limitations specified, contained in the proposed Instruments of Delegation.
2. Approves that such powers and functions delegated may be further delegated by the Chief Executive Officer in accordance with Sections 44 and 101 of the *Local Government Act 1999* as the Chief Executive Officer sees fit, unless otherwise indicated herein or in the proposed Instruments of Delegation contained in Attachment A, B and C to Item 4.3 on the Agenda for the meeting of The Committee held on 5 March 2019.

Carried

8. Item 7.2 – Advice/Recommendation of the Reconciliation Committee - 27/2/2019 [2018/04062] [C]

The Lord Mayor advised the meeting that each recommendation would be dealt with separately.

Advice 1 - City of Adelaide Reconciliation Committee 2019 – 2022 Meetings Schedule

Moved by Councillor Simms,
Seconded by Councillor Donovan -

That Council

1. Notes the following Quarterly Meeting Schedule adopted by the City of Adelaide Reconciliation Committee
The Reconciliation Committee meetings will be held in the Colonel Light Room, Town Hall, Adelaide on Wednesdays 2.30 pm to 4.30 pm on the following dates:

2019	2020	2021	2022
27 February	26 February	24 February	23 February
1 May	6 May	5 May	4 May
4 September	2 September	1 September	7 September
4 December	2 December	1 December	7 December

Carried

Recommendation 1 - Stretch RAP 2018-2021 Implementation Progress Report – June to December 2018

Moved by Councillor Simms,
Seconded by Councillor Hyde -

That Council:

1. Notes the report.

Carried

Reports for Council (Chief Executive Officer's Reports)**9. Item 8.1 – E-Scooter Trial Update [2019/00296] [C]**

Item 8.1, distributed separately, was before Council Members.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Hyde,
Seconded by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) -

That Council:

- Notes the success and popularity of the e-scooter share program trial over the festival period.
- Approves that a second e-scooter trial be undertaken for three months beginning immediately after the cessation of the current trial.
- Undertake an expression of interest for two e-scooter operators for the second trial, including increased provision for safety on footpaths.

- Request Administration work with DPTI to extend the current boundary of the e-scooter regulations to include the whole City of Adelaide and to investigate the viability of allowing e-scooters in bicycle lanes.

Discussion ensued

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Simms,
Seconded by Councillor Donovan -

That the motion be amended by the inclusion of an additional two dot points to read as follows:

- 'Request that administration investigate the potential for the City of Adelaide to purchase and run a fleet of e-scooters as an income generator for the corporation.
- Request that administration investigate charging a levy on e-scooter rides to generate income for the corporation.'

Discussion ensued

The amendment was then put and lost

Much discussion continued

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Abrahamzadeh,
Seconded by Councillor Hou -

That the motion be amended to read as follows:

That Council:

- Extend the existing trial permit to Lime in the City for up to 4 weeks while we undertake an EOI for up to two operators for a 6 month permit with an option to extend based on performance.
- Include updated permit conditions and requirements in the EOI that respond to the issues raised in the trial.'

Discussion ensued

The amendment was then put and carried

Discussion continued

The motion, as amended, was then put and carried

Item 8.1, distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

Questions on Notice

10. Item 9.1 – Councillor Simms – Question on Notice - City Wide Speed Limit Review Project [C]

Councillor Simms asked the following Question:

Question

Can administration please provide an update on the status of the City-Wide Speed Limit Review Project and speed limits in the Park Lands and Squares?

The Lord Mayor provided the following Answer:

Answer

1. The City-Wide Speed Limit Review is underway. All speed counts have been undertaken for the North Adelaide area, and the CBD counts will be complete by 31 March 2019. We will then undertake analysis of the counts which will inform a Committee workshop in June 2019. This includes the Squares and the Park Lands roads.
2. The key objectives of the project include:
 - 2.1. Review existing speed limits in the City of Adelaide area including Park Land roads and Squares
 - 2.2. Review consistency and continuity of speed limits in the City of Adelaide area
 - 2.3. Understand the actual speeds experienced in the city and North Adelaide compared to the signed speed limits
 - 2.4. Understand the variance of speeds throughout the day (AM Peak, PM Peak, Off-Peak and night time) as well as throughout the seven-day week period
 - 2.5. Compare the speed data with crash statistics, and pedestrian, cyclist, bus, tram and traffic volumes to assess speed limit appropriateness from a safety perspective

- 2.6. Review the impact of recent infrastructure projects on vehicle speeds, and how different City street environments impact on driver speeds
 - 2.7. Undertake a literature review of what other Australian Capital Cities, and suburban centers are doing with their speed limits (mixed use environments and residential streets).
3. A Committee Workshop is currently scheduled for 18 June 2019. The workshop will discuss key findings and observations from the review and seek feedback on speed limits in the City of Adelaide.

The tabled Reply for Item 9.1 is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

11. Item 9.2 – Councillor Knoll – Question on Notice – Christmas Pageant [C]

The following Question was taken as read:

Question

Noting the 11 September 2018 decision of Council which was successfully moved by Councillor Slama that reads as follows:

That:

1. Council notes that the Credit Union Christmas pageant is instrumental in kickstarting Adelaide's retail economy for the Christmas season every year and that there is potential to enhance the event to further increase its positive impact.
2. CoA work with SATC and seeks to adopt a more prolific KEY Partnership role approach – with SA Government, the key sponsor(s) of the Pageant, and the City's key Retail Precincts including Rundle Mall and Central Market and other tourist attractions eg Adelaide Zoo;
3. The strategic utilisation and financial participation of Adelaide's key assets (Rundle Mall and Central Market) should be engaged to improve visitor experience and boost pageant visitation / participation;
4. Council authorises the Lord Mayor and CEO to negotiate with the Premier, SATC, Pageant Organisers and Sponsors a strategic alignment.;
5. Council requests that the Lord Mayor write to the Premier and the Organising Committee of the Christmas Pageant encouraging the annual pageant to become a multi-day tourist attraction held from Wednesday to Sunday including the option of holding the Pageant on Sundays.

Can Administration provide an update to Council on what actions have been taken since this motion was passed?

The Lord Mayor provided the following Answer:

Answer

1. The City of Adelaide was a sponsor for three years of the Credit Union Christmas Pageant. The 2018 event was the last covered by this arrangement.
2. The Adelaide Christmas Pageant is traditionally held on the second Saturday in November unless that date falls on Remembrance Day. The Pageant is then held on the third Saturday in November. Moving the Pageant to Sunday has been considered previously by the Pageant owners, the South Australian Tourism Commission (SATC). Two key issues have been identified:
 - 2.1 A massive increase in costs to run on a Sunday given nature of labour and penalty rates and a concern on return on investment.
 - 2.2 Conflict with Sunday being a day of worship for many is seen as insensitive and could negatively impact attendance and reputation.
3. The Administration has reviewed the 2014-2018 'Christmas in the City' Strategy and are now working on a 2020-2025 Strategy that will inform various areas of the motion.
4. The Christmas in the City Operating Project funding in 2018 was \$600,000 for the delivery of new decorations, lighting displays, events and marketing and grants to the Precinct Groups.
5. In addition, the CoA provided sponsorship funding of \$82,500 for the 2018 Christmas Pageant organisation via our annual Grants and Sponsorship Program.

The tabled Reply for Item 9.2 is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

Motions on Notice

12. Item 11.1 – Councillor Martin – Motion on Notice – Albert Tower Bells [2018/04053] [C]

Councillor Knoll left the Council Chamber at 7.37pm.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Martin,
Seconded by Councillor Simms -

That Council;

Requests Administration prepare a report by the end of March on the possibility of commissioning a project to research and document the architectural and social history of the City of Adelaide's Albert Tower Bells, together with an estimate of any associated costs.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

13. Item 11.2 – Councillor Martin – Motion on Notice – Progress of Motions and Responses to Matters for which the Administration has Requested “Notice” [2018/04074] [C]

Councillor Knoll re-entered the Council Chamber at 7.40pm.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Martin,
Seconded by Councillor Simms -

That Council requests the Administration, at every second meeting of Council;

- i) Returns to the previous practice of reporting the progress of Councillor motions with and without notice adopted by the elected body over the current and previous two terms but which have not yet been fully implemented, and
- ii) An oral or written response to matters raised at prior Committee and Council meetings to which the Administration declined to provide an answer or information at that time, undertaking instead to “take on (it) notice”.

Carried

14. Item 11.3 – Councillor Martin – Motion on Notice - Council's 19/20 Budget [2019/00464] [C]

Item 11.3 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately, was before Council members.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Martin,
Seconded by Councillor Simms -

That Council;

Requests the Administration include in the Council's draft 19/20 budget the following initiatives for North Adelaide, along with the estimated cost of;

- i) The development of a master plan for the Melbourne Street Business Precinct
- ii) The development of a master plan for the O'Connell Street Business Precinct.

Discussion ensued

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Hyde,
Seconded by Councillor Moran -

That the motion be amended to read as follows:

That Council:

Requests the Administration consider in the Council's draft 19/20 budget the following initiatives for North Adelaide and the City, along with the estimated cost of;

- i) The development of a master plan for the Melbourne Street Business Precinct
- ii) The development of a master plan for the O'Connell Street Business Precinct.
- iii) The development of a master plan for the Hutt Street Business Precinct.'

Discussion continued

The amendment was then put and carried
The motion, as amended, was then put and carried

Item 11.3 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

15. Item 11.4 – Councillor Abrahamzadeh – Motion on Notice – Rundle Road [2016/02563] [C]

Item 11.4 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately, was before Council members.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Abrahamzadeh,
Seconded by Councillor Hou -

That Council

- 1) Reinstates the Rundle Road Weekend Closure and On Street Paid Parking.
- 2) Investigate alternate & improved barrier solutions for that area
- 3) To be delivered as part of the 2019-2020 Budget Consideration process.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

Item 11.4 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

16. Item 11.5 – Councillor Hyde - Motion on Notice – Homelessness [2013/00794] [C]

Item 11.5 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately, was before Council members.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Hyde,
Seconded by Councillor Moran -

That Council:

1. Notes receipt of the Institute of Global Homelessness' (IGH) report written by Dame Louise Casey recommending, among other things, increasing support for the Adelaide Zero Project's backbone work and the development of a business case to create an inner-city social services hub.
2. Notes the City of Adelaide does not have the financial capacity to fully implement the recommendations of this report.
3. Budgets a further contribution of \$200,000 in the 2019-2020 budget consideration process, contingent on State Government funding the remaining requirement to implement other recommendations of the IGH report.

Discussion ensued

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Simms,
Seconded by Councillor Donovan -

That the motion be amended to read as follows:

'That Council:

1. Notes receipt of the Institute of Global Homelessness' (IGH) report written by Dame Louise Casey recommending, among other things, increasing support for the Adelaide Zero Project's backbone work and the development of a business case to create an inner-city social services hub.
2. Notes the City of Adelaide does not have the financial capacity to fully implement the recommendations of this report.
3. Budgets a further contribution of \$200,000 in the 2019-2020 budget consideration process.
4. Calls on the State Government to fund the remaining requirement to implement other recommendations of the IGH report.'

Discussion ensued

The amendment was then put and lost

Discussion continued

The motion was then put and carried

Item 11.5 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

With the consent of the meeting, the Lord Mayor called an adjournment of the meeting at 8.21pm.

The meeting reconvened at 8.29pm.

The Lord Mayor advised the meeting that Item 11.6 – Councillor Hyde – Motion on Notice – E-Scooters, was no longer required and that Item 11.7 - Councillor Khera - Motion on Notice – Heritage in the City – would be dealt with through a Motion without Notice.

Items 11.6 & 11.7 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

In the absence of Councillor Couros, the Lord Mayor advised the meeting that Item 11.9 would be considered before Item 11.8.

17. Item 11.9 – Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) – Motion on Notice - Upark Ticket Validation [2015/02296] [C]

Item 11.9 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately, was before Council members.

It was then -

Moved by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad),
Seconded by Councillor Hyde -

That:

Council investigates an automated system for UParks to allow City Businesses to validate customers UPark Tickets.

Discussion ensued, during which Councillor Couros re-entered the Council Chamber at 8.31pm.

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Simms,
Seconded by Councillor Moran -

That the motion be amended by the inclusion of the words ‘and a system for reimbursing customer tickets for public transport.’ After the word ‘Tickets’.

Discussion continued

The amendment, was then put and lost

Further discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

Item 11.9 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

18. Item 11.8 – Councillor Couros - Motion on Notice – Splash Adelaide Program [2018/03799] [C]

Item 11.8 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately, was before Council members.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Couros,
Seconded by Councillor Hyde -

That Council requests Administration:

- 1) Prepare a report and present at a workshop on Council’s past experiences with Splash Adelaide Program. Information provided to include a brief history, budget considerations, examples and community sentiment.
- 2) Deliver a Splash 2.0 - City Activation Program as part of the 2019-2020 budget consideration.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

Item 11.8 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

19. Item 11.10 – Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) – Motion on Notice - Greening opportunities in Central and South West precincts [2019/00464] [C]

Item 11.10 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately, was before Council members.

It was then -

Moved by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad),
Seconded by Councillor Hyde -

That Council:

- 1) Notes that the canopy of the South West and North West of the City is 18.79% and 9.21% respectively;
- 2) Endorsed the increase in the canopy to 20% by 2021;
- 3) Investigates potential partnership funding from the State Government to assist with meeting the tree canopy targets.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

Item 11.10 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

20. Item 11.11 – Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) – Motion on Notice - Ongoing measures to cut red tape and the cost of doing business in the City of Adelaide [2018/03799] [C]

Item 11.11 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately, was before Council members.

It was then -

Moved by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad),
Seconded by Councillor Hyde -

That Council:

- 1) Investigates opportunities to consolidate permits and application processes for businesses.
- 2) Removes the outdoor dining fees for complying businesses as part of the 2019-2020 budget consideration process.
- 3) Investigates other cost reduction measures including waste management services & trades parking permits.

Discussion ensued

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Couros,
Seconded by Councillor Abrahamzadeh -

That Part 2) of the motion be amended by the replacement of the word ‘complying’ with the word ‘all’

Discussion ensued

The amendment was then put and carried unanimously

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) then requested that a division be taken on the amendment.

Division

For (11):

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) and Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Couros, Donovan, Hou, Hyde, Khera, Knoll, Martin, Moran and Simms

The division was declared in favour of the amendment

Councillor Moran left the Council Chamber at 9.24pm.

The motion, as amended, was then put and carried

Councillor Moran re-entered the Council Chamber at 9.24pm.

Item 11.11 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

21. Item 11.12 – Councillor Hou – Motion on Notice – Multicultural Hub and Tourist Destination [C]

Item 11.12 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately, was before Council members.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Hou,
Seconded by Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) -

That Council:

- 1) Recognises that Moonta, Gouger and Grote Street Precinct as the Multicultural Hub and a Tourist Destination for the City of Adelaide.
- 2) Requests that the Lord Mayor approaches the Premier to consider an increase in the State Government Funding for the Project.
- 3) Endorses the inclusion of an appropriate budget for detailed design and consultation as part of the 2019-2020 budget consideration process.

Discussion ensued

The motion was then put and carried

Item 11.12 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

22. Item 11.13 – Councillor Knoll – Motion on Notice – Rate Freeze [C]

Item 11.13 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately, was before Council members.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Knoll,
Seconded by Councillor Hyde -

That Council:

Considers a continued freeze in the Rate in the Dollar as part of the 2019-2020 Budget Consideration Process.

Discussion ensued

Amendment –

Moved by Councillor Moran,
Seconded by Councillor Martin -

That the motion be amended to read as follows:

‘That Council:

Considers a continued freeze in the Rate in the Dollar for the term of this Council.’

Discussion ensued, during which Councillor Hyde left the Council Chamber at 9.32pm, re-entered at 9.34pm, left at 9.36pm and re-entered at 9.39pm.

The amendment was then put and lost

Councillor Martin then requested that a division be taken on the amendment.

Division

For (4):

Councillors Donovan, Khera, Martin and Moran.

Against (7):

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) and Councillors Abrahamzadeh, Couros, Hou, Hyde, Knoll and Simms.

The division was declared against the amendment.

Discussion continued

The motion was then put and carried

Item 11.13 [with Administration Comment], distributed separately to the Agenda is attached for reference at the conclusion of the Minutes of this meeting.

Motion without Notice

23. Councillor Hyde – Motion without Notice – Heritage in the City [2019/00218] [C]

Councillors Moran and Khera identified an actual conflict of interest in Item 12.1 [Councillor Hyde – Motion without Notice – Heritage in the City] pursuant to Sections 75 & 75A of the Local Government Act 1999, because they both own heritage buildings that may be affected by the motion, withdrew their chairs and left the Council Chamber at 9.52pm.

It was then -

Moved by Councillor Hyde,
Seconded by Councillor Couros -

That Council:

- Acknowledges heritage properties as a significant cultural and economic driver in the City of Adelaide.
- Investigates a Heritage Rate Rebate Incentive Scheme for City of Adelaide Rate Payers who invest in and maintain their heritage listed properties
- Consider measures and incentives to promote the occupancy and restoration of vacant and dilapidated properties
- Allocate a budget for this program as part of the 2019-2020 budget considerations.

Discussion ensued, during which Councillor Hyde left the Council Chamber at 9.54pm and re-entered at 9.55pm.

The motion was then put and carried

Councillors Khera and Moran re-entered the Council Chamber at 9.56pm.

Exclusion of the Public

24. Item 13.1 – Exclusion of the Public from the Meeting to Consider [2017/00571] [C]

For the following report of The Committee seeking consideration in confidence

14.1.1 Recommendation of The Committee in Confidence – 5/3/2019

- | | |
|------------------|--|
| Recommendation 1 | New Recreation Space [s 90(3) (b)] |
| Recommendation 2 | Strategic Property Matter [s 90(3) (b) & (d)] |
| Recommendation 3 | Strategic Property Matter [s 90(3) (b) & (d)] |
| Recommendation 4 | City of Music Laneway Naming [s 90(3) (a) & (b)] |
| Recommendation 5 | Funding Submissions [s 90(3) (b)] |
| Recommendation 6 | Partnership Proposals 2019-20 [s 90(3) (b)] |

ORDER TO EXCLUDE FOR ITEM 14.1.1:

Moved by Councillor Moran,
Seconded by Councillor Hou -

THAT COUNCIL:

1. Having taken into account the relevant consideration contained in s 90(3) (a), (b) & (d) and s 90(2) & (7) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council dated 12/3/2019 resolves that it is necessary and appropriate to act in a meeting closed to the public as the consideration of Item 14.1.1 [Recommendation of The Committee in Confidence – 5/3/2019] listed on the Agenda in a meeting open to the public would on balance be contrary to the public interest.

Grounds and Basis

Recommendation 1 – New Recreation Space

Disclosure of the funding strategies and associated information could reasonably prejudice the commercial position of Council in its negotiations with its funding partners, which, on balance, would be contrary to the public interest as it would likely implicate the optimisation of funding opportunities council may be able to secure through its funding negotiations.

Recommendation 2 – Strategic Property Matter

This Item is commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting business, prejudice the commercial position of the council and prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information and confer a commercial advantage on a third party.

The disclosure of information in this report could reasonably prejudice the commercial position of Council as it discusses land disposal options for evaluation by Council, disclosure of which at this point in time may confer a commercial advantage on a third party, prejudice the ability for Council to undertake/participate in future discussion or negotiation and prejudice the Council's commercial position and opportunity to discuss or negotiate an option yet to be determined by the Council at this point in time.

Recommendation 3 – Strategic Property Matter

This Report details commercial information of a strategic property matter the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position and identity of the proponent who supplied 'commercial in confidence' information containing business directions/strategy. The disclosure of information contained in this report may prejudice the commercial position of the third party by disclosing the identity and content of the information at this point in time. Disclosure of this information may prejudice the ability to undertake/participate in any future process and or negotiations on any proposal and prejudice the Council's commercial position and opportunity for Council to participate in future like considerations or discussions.

Recommendation 4 – City of Music Laneway Naming

The grounds for consideration in confidence are primarily associated with the personal implications for the artist/s. There is a risk to reputation and personal brand for an artist to be placed competitively among a list of peers, and their name not be selected by Council for a City of Music laneway. Consideration in confidence seeks to protect the reputation and to minimise personal disappointment of the artist/s named in the report.

The grounds for consideration in confidence are secondly that any Council decision to name a City laneway may reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage of one artist/s over another, particularly as provided in a competitive arrangement through the decision-making process required by this report. There will be media and public interest in the selected artist/s. Commercial exposure and performance opportunities may reasonably be seen to be advantaged by the decision of Council to name a City laneway after the artist/s.

Recommendation 5 – Funding Submissions

Disclosure of the funding strategies and associated information could reasonably prejudice the commercial position of Council in its negotiations with its funding partners, which, on balance, would be contrary to the public interest as it would likely implicate the optimisation of funding opportunities council may be able to secure through its funding negotiations.

Recommendation 6 – Partnership Proposals 2019-20

Disclosure of proposed funding strategies and associated information including anticipated capital and operating expenditure on key strategic projects could reasonably prejudice the commercial position of Council in its negotiations with its funding partners and other third parties, which, on balance, would be contrary to the public interest as it would likely undermine the optimisation of funding opportunities council may be able to secure through its funding negotiations and may result in inflated project costs.

Public Interest

Recommendation 1 – New Recreation Space

The Council is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in the circumstances given that information release of such information may confer a commercial advantage on a third party and severely prejudice the Council's ability to influence proposals for the benefit of the Council and the community in this matter.

Recommendation 2 – Strategic Property Matter

The Council is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in the circumstances because the disclosure of this information will result in release of information in relation to the land disposal matter and because the disclosure of Council's commercial position may severely prejudice Council's ability to discuss/participate or influence a proposal for the benefit of the Council and the community in this matter.

Recommendation 3 – Strategic Property Matter

Council is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in the circumstances. The private sector may determine not to pitch new ideas and projects to Council if Council will not take into account a proponents concerns regarding protection of its ideas and commercially sensitive information. This may have the impact that Council does not maximise private sector innovation and service delivery improvement opportunities. Disclosure of the information contained in this report may cause detriment to the third party who supplied information to Council at this point in time, and may materially and adversely affect Council's ability to discuss/participate or influence a proposal for the benefit of the Council and the community in this matter.

Recommendation 4 – City of Music Laneway Naming

The Committee is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in the circumstances because the disclosure of this information would involve the unreasonable disclosure of information concerning the personal affairs of the artist/s named in the report which could prejudice the commercial position and identity of the artist/s named through the receipt of a level of commercial advantage from the decision or disadvantage the personal and professional brand and reputation of artist/s named but not selected in the decision-making process by Council.

Recommendation 5 – Funding Submissions

The Council is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in the circumstances given that information release of such information may confer a commercial advantage on a third party and severely prejudice the Council's ability to influence proposals for the benefit of the Council and the community in this matter.

Recommendation 6 – Partnership Proposals 2019-20

The Council is satisfied that the principle that the meeting be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in the circumstances given that information release of such information may confer a commercial advantage on a third party and severely prejudice the Council's ability to influence proposals and efficiently deliver strategic projects for the benefit of the Council and the community in this matter.

2. Pursuant to s 90(2) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council dated 12/3/2019 orders that the public (with the exception of members of Corporation staff and any person permitted to remain) be excluded from this meeting to enable this meeting to receive, discuss or consider in confidence Item 14.1.1 [Recommendation of The Committee in Confidence – 5/3/2019] listed in the Agenda, on the grounds that such item of business, contains information and matters of a kind referred to in s 90(3) (a), (b) & (d) of the Act.

Carried

The public and members of Corporation staff not directly involved with Item 14.1.1 left the Council Chamber at 9.57pm.

Confidential Item 14.1.1

Recommendations of The Committee in Confidence – 5/3/2019

Section 90 (3) (g) of the *Local Government Act (SA) 1999*

Pages 15 to 18

The Council Chamber re-opened to the public at 10.11pm.

Confidentiality Orders

Minute 25 - Item 14.1.1 - Recommendation of The Committee in Confidence – 5/3/2019 [C]

Confidentiality Orders

Recommendation 1 – New Recreation Space

In accordance with Section 91(7) & (9) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* and because Recommendation 1 – New Recreation Space of Item 18.1.1 listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 12 March 2019 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (b) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council, do order that:

1. The resolution, the report, the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until 31 December 2026;
2. The confidentiality of the matter be reviewed in December 2020; and
3. The Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.

Recommendation 2 - Strategic Property Matter

In accordance with Section 91(7) & (9) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* and because Recommendation 2 – Strategic Property Matter of Item 18.1.1 listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 12 March 2019 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (b) & (d) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council, do order that:

1. The resolution, the report, the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until 31 December 2026;
2. The confidentiality of the matter be reviewed in December 2020; and
3. The Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.

Recommendation 3 – Strategic Property Matter

In accordance with Section 91(7) & (9) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* and because Recommendation 3 – Strategic Property Matter of Item 18.1.1 listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 12 March 2019 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (b) & (d) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council, do order that:

1. The resolution, the report, the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until 31 December 2026;
2. The confidentiality of the matter be reviewed in December 2020; and
3. The Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.

Recommendation 4 - City of Music Laneway Naming

In accordance with Section 91(7) & (9) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* and because Recommendation 4 – City of Music Laneway Naming of Item 18.1.1 listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 12 March 2019 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (a) & (b) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council, do order that:

1. The resolution, the report, the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until 31 December 2026;
2. The confidentiality of the matter be reviewed in December 2020; and
3. The Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.

Recommendation 5 - Funding Submissions

In accordance with Section 91(7) & (9) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* and because Recommendation 5 – Funding Submissions of Item 18.1.1 listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 12 March 2019 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (b) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council, do order that:

1. The resolution, the report, the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until 31 December 2026;
2. The confidentiality of the matter be reviewed in December 2020; and
3. The Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.

Recommendation 6 – Partnership Proposals 2019-20

In accordance with Section 91(7) & (9) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)* and because Recommendation 6 – Partnership Proposals 2019-20 of Item 18.1.1 listed on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 12 March 2019 was received, discussed and considered in confidence pursuant to Section 90(3) (b) of the *Local Government Act 1999 (SA)*, this meeting of the Council, do order that:

1. The resolution, the report, the discussion and any other associated information submitted to this meeting and the Minutes of this meeting in relation to the matter remain confidential and not available for public inspection until 31 December 2026;
2. The confidentiality of the matter be reviewed in December 2020; and
3. The Chief Executive Officer be delegated the authority to review and revoke all or part of the order herein and directed to present a report containing the Item for which the confidentiality order has been revoked.

Closure

The meeting closed at 10.11pm.

Mark Goldstone,
Chief Executive Officer

Sandy Verschoor,
Lord Mayor.

The documents referenced in:

- Minute 9 - Item 8.1 – E-Scooter Trial Update, Distributed Separately
- Minute 10 – Item 9.1 – Councillor Simms – Question on Notice – City Wide Speed Limit Review Project, Tabled Reply
- Minute 11 – Item 9.2 – Councillor Knoll – Question on Notice – Christmas Pageant, Tabled Reply
- Minute 14 – Item 11.3 – Councillor Martin – Motion on Notice - Council's 19/20 Budget, Administration Comment
- Minute 15 – Item 11.4 - Councillor Abrahamzadeh – Motion on Notice – Rundle Road, Administration Comment
- Minute 16 – Item 11.5 - Councillor Hyde - Motion on Notice – Homelessness, Administration Comment
- Item 11.6 – Councillor Hyde - Motion on Notice – E-Scooters, Administration Comment
- Item 11.7 - Councillor Khera - Motion on Notice – Heritage in the City, Administration Comment
- Minute 17 – Item 11.9 - Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) – Motion on Notice - Upark Ticket Validation, Administration Comment

Council Meeting - Minutes - 12 March 2019

- Minute 18 – Item 11.8 - Councillor Couros - Motion on Notice – Splash Adelaide Program, Administration Comment
- Minute 19 – Item 11.10 - Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) – Motion on Notice - Greening opportunities in Central and South West precincts, Administration Comment
- Minute 20 – Item 11.11 - Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) – Motion on Notice - Ongoing measures to cut red tape and the cost of doing business in the City of Adelaide, Administration Comment
- Minute 21 – Item 11.12 - Councillor Hou – Motion on Notice – Multicultural Hub and Tourist Destination, Administration Comment
- Minute 22 – Item 11.13 - Councillor Knoll – Motion on Notice – Rate Freeze, Administration Comment

are attached for reference.

E-scooter Trial Update

ITEM 8.1 12/03/2019
Council

2019/00296
Public

Program Contact:
Daniel Bennett, AD Strategy &
Design 8203 7295

Approving Officer:
Beth Davidson-Park, Director
Operations

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

In January 2019, the City of Adelaide and the State Government agreed to trial electric scooters (e-scooters) in the City of Adelaide during the 2019 Fringe and Adelaide Festival season. The e-scooter trial commenced on 15 February 2019 for a period of four weeks.

Following a select expression of interest process, the City of Adelaide issued a permit to Lime e-scooters for this period, and the State Government amended regulations to allow the use of e-scooters as part of the trial.

Based on our analysis to date, the relatively low complaint levels, and the size and immediate take up of the service, we propose that e-scooters should continue as a transport option in the city. This report summarises early findings of the trial and provides recommendations and next steps for the future operations of e-scooters in the City of Adelaide.

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT COUNCIL

1. Notes that a review of the e-scooter trial be presented to Council on 26 March 2019.
2. Approves Option 1 as the next steps for e-scooter operation in the city:
 - 2.1. That the current e-scooter trial is concluded on 17 March 2019
 - 2.2. That an EOI process is undertaken for up to two operators for a six-month permit, with an option to extend based on performance
 - 2.3. That the EOI include updated permit conditions and requirements that respond to the issues raised in the trial.
3. Approves that we approach the State Government to discuss expansion of the permitted e-scooter use area to include the full City of Adelaide region.
4. Delegates authority to the Chief Executive Officer to develop an expression of interest process (consistent with Option 1 as detailed in this report) to permit up to two e-scooter operators with a maximum of 500 e-scooters each for a period of six months, with an option to extend based on their performance.

IMPLICATIONS AND FINANCIALS:

City of Adelaide 2016-2020 Strategic Plan	<p>Liveable: Work with neighbouring councils and the State Government to enhance the facilities, attractions, landscapes and movement networks in the Park Lands to meet the needs and expectations of growing high-density communities living in and near the City.</p> <p>Creative: Work with businesses and other partners to bring creativity and smart technology into the everyday experience of our City</p>
Policy	An appropriate policy position will be determined through analysis of the trial and is subject to Council's direction for the future of e-scooters in the City of Adelaide.
Consultation	We will continue to work closely with the State Government, SAPOL, and the Health and Emergency Services on analysing the results of the trial.
Resource	The e-scooter trial is being managed through existing resources.
Risk / Legal / Legislative	Not as a result of this report.
Opportunities	To build on the success of the e-scooter trial and amend the permit conditions to address issues identified in the review of the trial.
18/19 Budget Allocation	Not as a result of this report.
Proposed 19/20 Budget Allocation	Not as a result of this report.
Life of Project, Service, Initiative or (Expectancy of) Asset	Not as a result of this report.
18/19 Budget Reconsideration (if applicable)	Not as a result of this report.
Ongoing Costs (eg maintenance cost)	Not as a result of this report.
Other Funding Sources	Not as a result of this report.

DISCUSSION

Background

1. At its meeting on 29 January 2019, Council approved a trial of shared electric scooters (e-scooters) in the City of Adelaide for the 2019 Adelaide Fringe and Festival season through the following decision, that Council:

Notes that e-scooters are currently prohibited from use on public roads and footpaths in South Australia.

Notes that the Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure is exploring options to legalise e-scooters on public roads and footpaths, which may include a temporary exemption for e-scooter share operators to facilitate a trial during Adelaide's 2019 festival season.

Delegates authority to the CEO to develop a temporary permit for up to two e-scooter share program operators to facilitate a trial in the City of Adelaide (should the State grant a temporary exemption for e-scooter share operators to facilitate a trial) including, but not limited to, considerations of safety, placement of scooters, monitoring fleet, complaints process for inappropriately located bikes, and number of e-scooters in each program.

2. We developed a series of provider requirements for the trial for potential e-scooter operators based on similar trials in other Australian cities and around the world as well as our experience with dockless bikeshare operators. Due to the nature of the trial, it was determined a single operator with a maximum of 500 e-scooters was appropriate. The three key measures of success and performance for the trial are:
 - 2.1. Safety: including complaints, injury (users and non-users) and nuisance. Analysis of collected data from e-scooter operators, South Australian Police (SAPOL) and Emergency Services, the South Australian Government, hospitals and medical institutions, and the City of Adelaide.
 - 2.2. Usage: including number of trips and their statistics for the e-scooter operator, pick-up and drop off locations and demand for e-scooter services. Analysis to include the number of operators and e-scooters provided in the trial.
 - 2.3. Impact on the transport network including: mode shift, integration with other modes of transport and carbon emissions saved with the operator required to undertake a survey of users and provide this data to the City of Adelaide for analysis.
3. On Thursday 7 February 2019, following a shortlisting process of potential providers who had approach the City of Adelaide, a select Expression of Interest (EOI) with application requirements was provided to four e-scooter operators.
4. Three of the four operators responded to the e-scooter trial EOI. Interviews were conducted on Friday 8 February 2019 and their submissions were received by 9am Monday 11 February 2019.
5. On Tuesday 12 February 2019, the e-scooter operators were notified of the outcome of the evaluation process and Lime was selected as the preferred e-scooter operator (pending changes to the relevant State Regulations).
6. On Thursday 14 February 2019, the South Australian Government released a Gazette Notice which provided amendments to the South Australian Regulations to permit the use of e-scooters on public roads to enable the trial. The Gazette Notice included rules, regulations and the geographical area within which the e-scooter trial could operate.
7. On Friday 15 February 2019, a media event occurred in Victoria Square with the Hon Min Stephan Knoll, Minister for Transport, Infrastructure and Local Government, the Lord Mayor and representatives from Lime to launch the e-scooter trial.

Early findings and outcomes of the e-scooter trial

Operation and statistics

8. The City of Adelaide e-scooter permit conditions included a maximum of 500 e-scooters. Lime launched the trial with approximately 220 and increased the number of e-scooters to 500 on Friday 22 February 2019.
9. More than 50,000 trips undertaken by over 20,000 users have occurred in the first three weeks of the trial.
10. Within the defined trial precinct area, the average distance travelled per ride has been 1.25km.

Community information and feedback

11. The City of Adelaide and State Government both provide dedicated webpages with consistent information about e-scooter operations, the regulations and the trial permit conditions.
12. Since the launch of the trial there has been approximately 10,000 visits to the State Government's website on e-scooter rules and regulations, and 4,000 visits to the City of Adelaide's website about the trial.
13. A dedicated City of Adelaide email address was created to collate feedback on the trial. Since the launch of the trial and at the time of writing this report, 43 responses have been received either from the dedicated email address, the City of Adelaide customer centre or through the general city email.
14. The majority of the feedback relates to the rules for riding e-scooters, where they can be ridden and e-scooter rider behaviour. A summary of themes of the feedback is listed in the table below.

Feedback	Responses
Supportive feedback	9
Neutral feedback	20
Negative feedback	14

Theme of Feedback	Number of mentions
General rider behaviour	18
Complaint against riding on footpath	18
Nuisance complaint (e-scooter parking concerns and noise complaint)	10
riding at speed	9
Riding without a helmet	9
Underage riding	5
Incorrect information provided on app	4

Incidents

15. A total of 11 incidents have been reported to the City of Adelaide. These incidents are as follows:
 - 15.1. three injuries as a result of falling off or being propelled off an e-scooter while in motion
 - 15.2. six reported near misses between e-scooter riders on footpaths and pedestrians
 - 15.3. one incident of a e-scooter rider losing controls and crashing into a parked vehicle
 - 15.4. one incident of a collision between a pedestrian and an e-scooter rider.

Identified issues with trial

16. Several issues have been identified as part of the e-scooter trial. These include:
 - 16.1. Inability for the operator to effectively enforce the geofence (permitted area) resulting in e-scooter users being able to ride and park e-scooters outside of the permitted trial area, and through Rundle Mall and the City West Declared Public Precinct.
 - 16.2. Conflicting information provided to users within the operator's mobile phone app compared to the South Australian rules and regulations published on government websites.

- 16.3. Lack of e-scooter user education and awareness, for example not knowing the rules regarding the use of helmets, appropriate riding, where and where not to ride, riding with blood alcohol concentration of less than 0.05, minimum age requirements, and not riding with passengers.
- 16.4. The conflict between e-scooter users and pedestrians on footpaths and that e-scooters are prohibited from riding on roads and within bike lanes.
- 16.5. Parking of e-scooters on footpaths conflicting with accessible paths, building entrances and causing nuisance in heavily utilised areas (for example bus stops and restaurant precincts).
- 16.6. These issues will be addressed as part of the review of the trial, and any agreed actions included in the EOI stage.
17. We will assess these issues further as part of the trial, noting that the issues may either be related to the specific e-scooter operator and technology, or the user behaviour. Introducing a second operator may help to determine which issues are related to e-scooters more broadly and which relate to Lime's technology.

Options for e-scooter operation

18. The current e-scooter trial concludes on Sunday 17 March 2019.
19. Based on our analysis to date, the relatively low complaint levels, and the size and immediate take up of the service, we propose that e-scooters should continue as a transport option in the city.
20. Three options have been identified for the proposed continuation of e-scooters in the city. These options are:

20.1. Option 1:

- **Conclude the current trial on 17 March 2019.**
- **Undertake an EOI for up to two operators for a six-month permit, with an option to extend based on performance.**
- **Include updated permit conditions and requirements in the EOI that respond to the issues raised in the trial.**

This option is consistent with the current conditions of the trial and enables analysis of the trial and amendments to permit conditions (and potentially State Government regulation) whilst enabling an informed decision-making process. This option does create reputational and political risk, as it means that Lime e-scooters would not be able to operate on public streets in the city during the evaluation and the EOI period. The EOI would be undertaken as quickly as possible (we estimate 2-3 weeks).

20.2. Option 2:

- **Extend the existing trial permit for Lime to operate in the City for up to four weeks while we undertake an EOI for up to two operators for a six-month permit, with an option to extend based on performance.**
- **Include updated permit conditions and requirements in the EOI that respond to the issues raised in the trial.**

This option allows the current permit operator, Lime, to continue to operate while an analysis of the e-scooter trial and an EOI process is undertaken. It also enables analysis of the trial and amendments to permit conditions (and potentially State Government regulation) whilst enabling an informed decision-making process.

This option creates significant reputational risk given the overt undertaking given to the current and prospective operators that the trial will cease on 17 March 2019. Lime may also be seen to have an unfair (or 'first movers') advantage for the EOI for the permit.

20.3. Option 3:

- **Extend the existing trial permit for Lime to operate in the City for up to four weeks while we undertake an EOI to enable any operator that meets the current application requirements and permit conditions a permit to operate in the city for six months, with an option to extend based on performance.**

- **Include updated permit conditions and requirements in the EOI that respond to the issues raised in the trial.**

This option allows the current permit operator, Lime, to continue to operate while an analysis of the e-scooter trial and an EOI process is undertaken. An EOI process would be undertaken to enable any operator that meets the permit conditions a permit for a period of six months

This option has the potential to open up the market to a large number of e-scooter operators. At the current time there are more than ten operators that could potentially operate under the conditions of our current permit. Multiple operators could create a number of issues for the city, including the potential for excessive numbers of parked e-scooters causing nuisance, user confusion with many operators and apps, difficulty in managing operators and their compliance with the permit conditions.

21. Based on the above options we recommend the following:
 - 21.1. That Option 1 be supported: we conclude the e-scooter trial on 17 March 2019 to undertake a review and conduct an EOI process for a six-month permit for up to two providers, with the option of extending the permit(s) based on each operator's performance.
 - 21.2. In the EOI, we will include updated permit conditions and requirements that respond to the issues raised in the trial.
 - 21.3. That, subject to the review of the trial, the permitted area be expanded to include the full City of Adelaide council area (subject to amending the permitted area by the Minister).
22. We would propose to commence the new permit within four weeks of the conclusion of the trial. Commencing the new permit would then coincide with the Easter Long Weekend.

Next steps

23. As the current e-scooter trial is currently under way, not all information is available to undertake a full analysis of the trial at this time. A full report outlining the results of the trial will be presented to Council for consideration on 26 March 2019. It is anticipated that the results of the trial will inform the conditions for the next permit conditions, such as number of scooters, as well as the geographical area permitted.
24. We will continue to work closely with the State Government, SAPOL, and the Health and Emergency Services on analysing the results of the trial.

ATTACHMENTS

Nil

- END OF REPORT -

City Wide Speed Limit Review Project

ITEM 9.1 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Councillor Simms

2019/00464
Public

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

QUESTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Simms will ask the following Question on Notice:

'Can administration please provide an update on the status of the City-Wide Speed Limit Review Project and speed limits in the Park Lands and Squares?'

REPLY:

1. The City-Wide Speed Limit Review is underway. All speed counts have been undertaken for the North Adelaide area, and the CBD counts will be complete by 31 March 2019. We will then undertake analysis of the counts which will inform a Committee workshop in June 2019. This includes the Squares and the Park Lands roads.
2. The key objectives of the project include:
 - 2.1. Review existing speed limits in the City of Adelaide area including Park Land roads and Squares
 - 2.2. Review consistency and continuity of speed limits in the City of Adelaide area
 - 2.3. Understand the actual speeds experienced in the city and North Adelaide compared to the signed speed limits
 - 2.4. Understand the variance of speeds throughout the day (AM Peak, PM Peak, Off-Peak and night time) as well as throughout the seven-day week period
 - 2.5. Compare the speed data with crash statistics, and pedestrian, cyclist, bus, tram and traffic volumes to assess speed limit appropriateness from a safety perspective
 - 2.6. Review the impact of recent infrastructure projects on vehicle speeds, and how different City street environments impact on driver speeds
 - 2.7. Undertake a literature review of what other Australian Capital Cities, and suburban centers are doing with their speed limits (mixed use environments and residential streets).
3. A Committee Workshop is currently scheduled for 18 June 2019. The workshop will discuss key findings and observations from the review and seek feedback on speed limits in the City of Adelaide.

- END OF REPORT -

Christmas Pageant

ITEM 9.2 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Councillor Knoll

2019/00298
Public

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

QUESTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Knoll will ask the following Question on Notice:

'Noting the 11 September 2018 decision of Council which was successfully moved by Councillor Slama that reads as follows:

That:

1. Council notes that the Credit Union Christmas pageant is instrumental in kickstarting Adelaide's retail economy for the Christmas season every year and that there is potential to enhance the event to further increase its positive impact.
2. CoA work with SATC and seeks to adopt a more prolific KEY Partnership role approach – with SA Government, the key sponsor(s) of the Pageant, and the City's key Retail Precincts including Rundle Mall and Central Market and other tourist attractions eg Adelaide Zoo;
3. The strategic utilisation and financial participation of Adelaide's key assets (Rundle Mall and Central Market) should be engaged to improve visitor experience and boost pageant visitation / participation;
4. Council authorises the Lord Mayor and CEO to negotiate with the Premier, SATC, Pageant Organisers and Sponsors a strategic alignment.;
5. Council requests that the Lord Mayor write to the Premier and the Organising Committee of the Christmas Pageant encouraging the annual pageant to become a multi-day tourist attraction held from Wednesday to Sunday including the option of holding the Pageant on Sundays.

Can Administration provide an update to Council on what actions have been taken since this motion was passed?'

REPLY:

1. The City of Adelaide was a sponsor for three years of the Credit Union Christmas Pageant. The 2018 event was the last covered by this arrangement.
2. The Adelaide Christmas Pageant is traditionally held on the second Saturday in November unless that date falls on Remembrance Day. The Pageant is then held on the third Saturday in November. Moving the Pageant to Sunday has been considered previously by the Pageant owners, the South Australian Tourism Commission (SATC). Two key issues have been identified:
 - 2.1 A massive increase in costs to run on a Sunday given nature of labour and penalty rates and a concern on return on investment.
 - 2.2 Conflict with Sunday being a day of worship for many is seen as insensitive and could negatively impact attendance and reputation.

3. The Administration has reviewed the 2014-2018 'Christmas in the City' Strategy and are now working on a 2020-2025 Strategy that will inform various areas of the motion.
4. The Christmas in the City Operating Project funding in 2018 was \$600,000 for the delivery of new decorations, lighting displays, events and marketing and grants to the Precinct Groups.
5. In addition, the CoA provided sponsorship funding of \$82,500 for the 2018 Christmas Pageant organisation via our annual Grants and Sponsorship Program.

- END OF REPORT -

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Martin will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

'That Council;

Requests the Administration include in the Council's draft 19/20 budget the following initiatives for North Adelaide, along with the estimated cost of;

- i) The development of a master plan for the Melbourne Street Business Precinct
- ii) The development of a master plan for the O'Connell Street Business Precinct.'

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. A master planning approach for both the Melbourne Street and O'Connell Street Business Precincts would consider many aspects of how the streets work as precincts, including (but not limited to) the following:
 - 1.1. Retail and hospitality mix and offer in the city context
 - 1.2. Analysis of day and night people movement and use
 - 1.3. Movement of traffic (including car parking, public transport access)
 - 1.4. Analysis of current and future land use (eg, growth) and potential change in the precinct
 - 1.5. Opportunity to enhance local character, heritage and public art to support appeal and authenticity
 - 1.6. Business improvement grants and programs (such as improvement to shop frontages)
 - 1.7. Analysis of asset condition and opportunities to enhance the street through improvements to footpaths, lighting, greening
 - 1.8. Management of the public space, such as through on-street activities
 - 1.9. 'Quick wins', such as changes to parking conditions, small creative projects, and 'de-cluttering' streets.
2. The plan would identify short, medium and long term actions to guide future investment in the Business Precincts, and development of the project would include engagement with the streets' businesses, as well as the local community.
3. Subject to the passing of the motion above, this item will be included as part of the 2019/20 budget considerations.
4. Administration will work to prepare a one-page scope for Council's consideration.

Rundle Road

ITEM 11.4 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Councillor Abrahamzadeh

2016/02563
Public

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Abrahamzadeh will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

‘That Council

- 1) Reinstates the Rundle Road Weekend Closure and On Street Paid Parking.
- 2) Investigate alternate & improved barrier solutions for that area
- 3) To be delivered as part of the 2019-2020 Budget Consideration process.’

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. In 1995 the City of Adelaide sought and was granted approval from the Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure to trial centre road parking in Rundle Road on weekends which created an additional 51 parking spaces. The approval was conditional on all traffic control devices being installed as per an agreed traffic management plan.
2. In 2008, due to significant risk and liability issues, Council resolved to remove the centre road parking for the following reasons:
 - 2.1. The parking was ticketed and pedestrians were forced to cross the road to obtain a ‘pay and display’ ticket, which was deemed unsafe.
 - 2.2. Water fillable barriers and traffic management was required to be installed on a temporary basis each weekend to ensure that the recommended speed of 20km/h could be achieved. These barriers used in excess of 50,000 litres of water. However, when the water restrictions came into effect in 2008 we were no longer able to fill the barriers and they were constantly being moved by motorists or pedestrians.
 - 2.3. Council received numerous residential complaints about the noise levels associated with the installation and removal of the water-filled barriers, which occurred at 5.00am on Saturdays and Mondays respectively.
 - 2.4. To effectively police the parking, Council’s Parking and Information Officers had to walk in the traffic lane to navigate around each vehicle. This created an unsafe working environment for our employees.
 - 2.5. Public Transport service (buses) were unable to utilise the road and were required to detour which impacted on their timetables.

- 2.6. The cost to implement the temporary parking was \$125,000 per year.
3. Investigations into alternative methods to retain centre road parking, whilst not widening Rundle Road, were undertaken and included the following;
 - 3.1. Use of concrete barriers in lieu of water filled barriers. Their installation would take considerable time and involve excessive noise as large vehicles would have been required to deliver and set them up, which would have been a concern for local residents with the 5am early morning setup. It was estimated that the costs to install/dismantle concrete barriers each week would have been significantly more.
 - 3.2. 'Centre of road' parking would impact on weekend public transport services.
 - 3.3. Install energy absorbing, retractable bollards in lieu of the water filled barriers. Approximately 56 bollards would have been required at a cost of approximately \$250,000, with an annual operating cost estimated to be \$20,000. This compared somewhat favourably with the annual operating cost of \$125,000 to erect the water filled barriers, however was not considered a desirable solution due to several concerns such as:
 - 3.3.1. Previous experience with removable bollards in that they are a very high maintenance item as a result of regular damage sustained within the public realm.
 - 3.3.2. Weekly set up and removal of the bollards would require an ongoing overtime labour commitment.
4. When the centre road parking was removed in 2008 it was identified that there were six off-street car parking stations between Pulteney Street and East Terrace providing approximately 2500 off-street parking spaces. An audit of the Frome Street and Rundle Street UParks identified that there were 130 car parks available at any given time in the Frome Street car park and 340 parks in the Rundle Street car park on Saturdays and Sundays. Development since 2008 has increased availability to 4700 off-street parking spaces within a 400 metre walkable catchment of Rundle Street.
5. Following the completion of the O-Bahn Extension Project in late 2017, the number of paid parking bays in Rundle Road was increased by 47 bays.
6. The relocation of the Royal Adelaide Hospital which coincided with the completion of the O-Bahn Extension Project and the installation of the additional parking bays, has seen a significant reduction in the demand for parking on Rundle Road resulting in decreased utilisation of these parking bays.
7. Subject to the passing of the motion above, this item will be included as part of the 2019/20 budget considerations.
8. Administration will work to prepare a one-page scope for Council's consideration.

- END OF REPORT -

Council Member
Councillor Hyde

2013/00794
Public

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Hyde will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

'That Council:

1. Notes receipt of the Institute of Global Homelessness' (IGH) report written by Dame Louise Casey recommending, among other things, increasing support for the Adelaide Zero Project's backbone work and the development of a business case to create an inner-city social services hub.
2. Notes the City of Adelaide does not have the financial capacity to fully implement the recommendations of this report.
3. Budgets a further contribution of \$200,000 in the 2019-2020 budget consideration process, contingent on State Government funding the remaining requirement to implement other recommendations of the IGH report.'

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. In November 2017, Adelaide was selected as Vanguard City in partnership with Institute of Global Homelessness' A Place Call Home project, recognised for its pioneering efforts towards ending street homelessness.
2. In September 2018, Dame Louise Casey from the IGH and Dr Nonie Brennan, from All Chicago visited Adelaide to conduct a service review of Adelaide Housing and Homelessness sector. A [final report](#), with recommendations for sector improvement and collaboration was released in February 2019 and provided to Council Members via an eNews update.
3. Recommendations included: increasing the availability of social and affordable housing in the City; increasing investment in the Adelaide Zero Project's backbone work; a greater involvement by the South Australian Housing Authority in Adelaide Zero Project strategic governance and the provision of housing solutions; urgent integration of culturally appropriate responses for Aboriginal people sleeping rough and the development of a business case to create an inner-city social services hub.
4. Subject to passing of the motion above, this item will be included as part of the 2019/20 budget considerations.

- END OF REPORT -

E-Scooters

ITEM 11.6 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Councillor Hyde

2019/00464
Public

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Hyde will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

‘That Council:

- Notes the success and popularity of the e-scooter share program trial over the festival period.
- Approves that a second e-scooter trial be undertaken for three months beginning immediately after the cessation of the current trial.
- Undertake an expression of interest for two e-scooter operators for the second trial, including increased provision for safety on footpaths.
- Request Administration work with DPTI to extend the current boundary of the e-scooter regulations to include the whole City of Adelaide and to investigate the viability of allowing e-scooters in bicycle lanes.’

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. An ‘E-scooter Trial Update’ report, Item 8.1 on the Agenda for the Council meeting of 12 March 2019 addresses the matters raised in this Motion on Notice.

- END OF REPORT -

Council Member
Councillor Khera

2019/00218
Public

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Khera will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

'That Council:

- Acknowledges heritage properties as a significant cultural and economic driver in the City of Adelaide.
- Investigates a Heritage Rate Rebate Incentive Scheme for City of Adelaide Rate Payers who invest in and maintain their heritage listed properties
- Consider measures and incentives to promote the occupancy and restoration of vacant and dilapidated properties
- Allocate a budget for this program as part of the 2019-2020 budget considerations.'

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. In November 2016, Council resolved a position on heritage that seeks a future heritage system that:
 - 1.1. Enables the full economic, tourism, cultural, community and sustainability value of our built heritage to be realised.
 - 1.2. Provides for consistent and transparent decision making based on merit and that meets community expectations.
 - 1.3. Enables the appropriate conservation, adaptation, sensitive re-use and development of heritage assets.
2. Council has previously in 2001 adopted and instituted a rate rebate scheme. The results of the rate rebate scheme were evaluated in 2002 by a consultant team from the University of South Australia. [Market Research Report on the Heritage Rate Rebate, 2002, Q2001/282.](#)
 - 2.1 Based on interviews with rate payers who owned heritage listed places in the City and others, the research found that the scheme was not successful in furthering the conservation of listed places in the City of Adelaide. It also found that focusing the resources was more likely to result in direct outcomes for heritage conservation and maintenance.
 - 2.2 The study found that:
 - 2.2.1 Only half of the rate payers who responded were aware that they had received a rebate on their rates.
 - 2.2.2 60% did not spend the rebated rate on their property and the 40% that did, may not have directed that money to specific conservation works.

UPark Ticket Validation

ITEM 11.9 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor
Abiad)

2015/02296
Public

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

'That:

Council investigates an automated system for UParks to allow City Businesses to validate customers UPark Tickets.'

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. Subject to passing of the motion above, the Administration will investigate and prepare a report for Council on potential options.

- END OF REPORT -

Splash Adelaide Program

ITEM 11.8 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Councillor Couros

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

2018/03799
Public

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Couros will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

That Council requests Administration:

- 1) Prepare a report and present at a workshop on Council's past experiences with Splash Adelaide Program. Information provided to include a brief history, budget considerations, examples and community sentiment.
- 2) Deliver a Splash 2.0 - City Activation Program as part of the 2019-2020 budget consideration.'

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. The Splash Adelaide program was implemented by COA from 2011 to 2018.
2. The purpose of the program was to:
 - 2.1. Foster creativity and contribute to the city's liveability.
 - 2.2. Facilitate growth in creative business and industries and encourages entrepreneurship.
 - 2.3. Ensure an exciting and broad range of events and activities throughout the year.
 - 2.4. Encourage low cost, flexible options for implementation – lighter, quicker and more economical.
 - 2.5. Engage the community and give power to the people – Council becomes a facilitator and enabler.
 - 2.6. Lead to a change in culture and perceptions of the City and Council – internally and externally.
3. A report can be prepared on the previous Splash Adelaide Program and presented at a workshop. The report and workshop will provide an overview on the history of the Splash Adelaide Program including previous project budgets, examples of activities and community sentiment/engagement.
4. An internal (staff) workshop on reimagining the Splash Adelaide Program and designing Splash 2.0 was held on Wednesday 6 March. A workshop with Council would provide an important opportunity to obtain Elected Members' input and ideas for inclusion in the shaping of Splash 2.0.
5. Subject to passing of the motion above, this item will be included as part of the 2019/20 budget considerations. The administration will work to prepare a one page scope for Council's budget consideration.

- END OF REPORT -

Greening opportunities in Central and South West precincts

ITEM 11.10 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad)

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive Officer

2019/00464
Public

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

'That Council:

- 1) Notes that the canopy of the South West and North West of the City is 11% and 9% respectively;
- 2) Endorsed the increase in the canopy to 20% by 2021;
- 3) Investigates potential partnership funding from the State Government to assist with meeting the tree canopy targets.'

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. The current canopy coverage in the city's CBD as measured for the Adelaide Design Manual Greening (Green City Plan) is 9.21% for the north-west, 18.79% for the south-west, 15.86% for the north-east, and 23.68% for the south-east. North Adelaide (west) has a canopy cover of 33.57%, and North Adelaide (east) has a canopy coverage of 28.62%.
2. The north-west and south-west of the CBD are the areas with the lowest overall canopy cover, and increasing our 'city forest' will provide significant community benefits, including:
 - 2.1. Creating a 'climate-ready' city. Our climate is warming and increasing canopy cover is critical to mitigate urban heat as connected tree canopy cover provides a cooler, shaded street experience and lowers the street's overall temperature.
 - 2.2. Encouraging biodiversity in nature and wellbeing in our community.
 - 2.3. Creating beautiful, green streets for residents, workers and visitors.
 - 2.4. Increasing capture of surface stormwater run-off which reduces pollution into waterways.
3. Implementation of a 'city forest' would require the following considerations:
 - 3.1. Addressing limited footpath widths and competition for space (eg, driveways).
 - 3.2. Seeking community support for possible loss of car parking.
 - 3.3. Limiting factors such as underground services, footpath widths, awnings and power lines.
 - 3.4. As 75% of land in CBD is privately owned the community's contribution to greening is critical.

4. The City of Adelaide's Strategic Plan 2016-2020 street tree planting target of 1,000 additional trees has been exceeded and as such the target was revised to 1,500 street trees in September 2018.
5. Implementing a major street tree planning and greening campaign requires a 'whole-of street' approach and significant local engagement followed by a design process, which would need to begin in 2019/20.
6. State Government grants and funding schemes are available to support delivery of street tree planting works, although these generally seek 'shovel ready' status and we would apply for these in the 2020/21 financial year.
7. Subject to the passing of the motion above, this item will be included as part of the 2019/20 budget considerations.
8. Administration will work to prepare a one-page scope for Council's consideration.

- END OF REPORT -

Ongoing measures to cut red tape and the cost of doing business in the City of Adelaide

ITEM 11.11 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad)

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive Officer

2018/03799

Public

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Abiad) will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

‘That Council:

- 1) Investigates opportunities to consolidate permits and application processes for businesses.
- 2) Removes the outdoor dining fees for complying businesses as part of the 2019-2020 budget consideration process.
- 3) Investigates other cost reduction measures including waste management services & trades parking permits.’

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. We have commenced a review of our permits and associated policies, guidelines, processes and fees with a view to:
 - 1.1. Creating a framework of guiding principles for permits
 - 1.2. Simplifying and consolidating categories including related policies and guidelines
 - 1.3. Developing a consistent fee model, and
 - 1.4. Enhancing the customer experience.
2. We are planning to bring a workshop to an upcoming Committee meeting to discuss our current approach to activating the public realm across all permitted activities, our proposed future approach and to seek Council’s feedback and input particularly with regard to Council’s preferred principles regarding the permitted use of the public realm and in turn when, why and how we charge for its use.
3. If this motion is supported, we can investigate other cost reduction measures including waste management services as part of the Waste Management Policy and Service Review. We do not currently issue or charge for trades parking permits and so we would seek to understand what opportunities Council is looking to create via a permit of this nature to assist in our investigations.
4. Subject to passing of the motion above, this item will be included as part of the 19/20 budget considerations.

- END OF REPORT -

Multicultural Hub and Tourist Destination

ITEM 11.12 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Councillor Hou

2019/00464
Public

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Hou will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

That Council:

- 1) Recognises that Moonta, Gouger and Grote Street Precinct as the Multicultural Hub and a Tourist Destination for the City of Adelaide.
- 2) Requests that the Lord Mayor approaches the Premier to consider an increase in the State Government Funding for the Project.
- 3) Endorses the inclusion of an appropriate budget for detailed design and consultation as part of the 2019-2020 budget consideration process.'

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. We are currently working on a project in Moonta Street which will deliver creative and functional lighting and a design for an upgraded street by June 2019.
2. The State Government has allocated \$500,000 to the Moonta Street upgrade works as part of their 2018/19 state budget. Discussions are ongoing in regard to the allocation of these funds.
3. Extensive engagement was undertaken by the City of Adelaide with the local community and the Market District Reference Group to develop the 'Our Market District' in 2015 and 'The Chinatown Reinvigoration Plan' in 2017.
4. Both documents provide guidance for the broader precinct, including Gouger Street and Grote Street, to create:
 - 4.1. A thriving retail and business district
 - 4.2. People-oriented public realm and movement
 - 4.3. A place that fosters cultural and social diversity
 - 4.4. A sustainable district.
5. They provide the starting point for a design strategy for the Moonta, Gouger and Grote Street Precinct and a subsequent detailed design and consultation approach.

6. Subject to the passing of the motion above, this item will be included as part of the 19/20 budget considerations.
7. Administration will work to prepare a one-page scope for Council's consideration.

- END OF REPORT -

Minute 21 - Item 11.12 - Distributed Separately

Rate Freeze

ITEM 11.13 12/03/2019
Council

Council Member
Councillor Knoll

2019/00224
Public

Receiving Officer:
Mark Goldstone, Chief Executive
Officer

MOTION ON NOTICE:

Councillor Knoll will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

That Council:

Considers a continued freeze in the Rate in the Dollar as part of the 2019-2020 Budget Consideration Process.

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT:

1. The motion, if adopted, provides notice of Council's intention to consider a further freeze in the rate in the dollar to be included as an option in the Financial Planning and budget process for 2019-20.
2. It should be noted that consistent with *Section 153 (5) of the Local Government Act 1999*, Council cannot formally declare a general rate until after it has adopted its annual business plan and budget for the relevant year.
3. The process for 2019-20 provides a number of key decision points and will provide Council with a range of inputs (including outcomes from Community Engagement) and options for consideration prior to adoption of the annual business plan and budget in June 2019.

- END OF REPORT -